Concluding remarks
Recent research has shown a marked shift from a nonsocial view of learning to a view that is deeply social. The critical challenge in creating a broad theoretical framework lies in explaining how learning in social situations differs from learning in asocial situations. Our theoretical framework explains how the automatic psychological reasoning involved in trust and learning creates a dynamic process of social learning that evolves over time. Implications of this work include testable claims about the effects of reasoning about other people for learning, a unified framework for understanding how beliefs about people affect learning and how learning affects beliefs about people, and a dynamic perspective on learning from and about people that can be used to model effects of experience on learning and development. Research is ongoing, but it is clear that any complete account of learning must explain how learners deal with the joint problems of learning to trust and trusting to learn.
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Humans are innately social creatures, but cognitive neuroscience, that has traditionally focused on individual brains, is only now beginning to investigate social cognition through realistic interpersonal interaction. Music provides an ideal domain for doing so because it offers a promising solution for balancing the trade-off between ecological validity and experimental control when testing cognitive and brain functions. Musical ensembles constitute a microcosm that provides a platform for parametrically modeling the complexity of human social interaction.

Probing human interaction through music
Human cognition and brain organization are shaped by the fact that we are innately social creatures. Cognitive neuroscience has nevertheless been slow to acknowledge that studying isolated individuals may be an ill-posed scientific approach, mainly because – when dealing with complex behaviors – experimental rigor is inversely related to ecological naturalness. Recently, however, a growing awareness of the profound impact of social context on neural functions has highlighted the need for experimental paradigms that enable brain functions to be investigated during real social interaction. We propose that music offers a promising solution for balancing the trade-off between experimental control and ecological naturalness in social–cognitive neuroscience.

Musical ensemble performance is a universal means of non-verbal communication that is achieved through specialized and codified forms of social interaction. Ensemble performers build on the human predisposition for musicality (observable already in infant–caregiver interactions) by undergoing years of training to hone sensorimotor, cognitive, and social skills that allow shared communicative goals to be developed and fulfilled through real-time interpersonal coordination.
Group-level musical coordination can be considered as a microcosm of social interaction. Individual musicians function as processing units within a complex dynamical system (the ensemble) whose goal is to communicate musical meaning (which is aesthetic and affective in nature) to an audience. Information flows simultaneously to and from each unit, and the system as a whole relies upon predictive models and adaptive mechanisms to meet the real-time demands of interpersonal coordination. As in more general forms of social interaction, co-performers behave in complex but formalized (rule-based) ways that are constrained by the tools they use (musical instruments), conventions (genre-specific performance styles and leader–follower roles), and often a script (the musical score).

We argue that these musical constraints are beneficial from an experimental perspective (Box 1) and may translate into ready-made experimental tasks, high levels of intrinsic motivation, and rich ecological settings. Research in the musical domain is therefore well placed to shed light upon topics of general interest for social cognition while balancing the trade-off between experimental control and ecological validity.

**Empirical study of music as social interaction**

The use of music as a model system for social interaction has inspired novel perspectives and original questions that touch on the core of human social cognition.

Musical ensemble performance is a form of social collaborative behavior that requires multiple individuals to anticipate and adapt to each other's actions. The operationalization of sensorimotor mechanisms that support such interpersonal coordination can reveal underlying social dynamics between performing musicians, such as emerging leadership roles. A study of string quartets, for example, quantified the operation of mutually-adaptive timing mechanisms between co-performers at the millisecond level, and used this information to reveal how two quartets playing the same piece exhibit distinct leadership strategies characterized by first-violin-led autocracy versus democratic group organization [1].

Ensembles can be studied as complex, interactive systems in which information is transmitted through body movements and processed through the observation of their effects. Such sensorimotor information transfer (or flow) is representative of most non-verbal communicative behavior, but it is an elusive construct that can be difficult to control and quantify. In music, however, the score itself can be designed to regulate information flow between co-performers without introducing unnatural experimental requirements. Recent research has employed mathematical tools, such as Granger Causality (see Glossary), to quantify the information flow between musicians. One study found that increased information flow from conductor to musicians, together with decreased musician-to-musician coordination, was associated with musical experts' judgments of ensemble aesthetic quality [2]. Related analytical approaches have been used to study musical dominance and leadership [3], and to distinguish between solo and ensemble modes of performance [4].

Real-time information transfer to and from interacting individuals requires the actions of self and others to be represented simultaneously in each individual's brain. A musical task requiring piano performance has identified distinguishable patterns of motor activity for self- versus other-related neural processes during action co-representation. Pianists listened to a recording of a rehearsed left-
hand part, while performing the complementary right-hand part of a musical piece. Corticospinal excitability associated with the resting left forearm increased when pianists were led to believe that they were interacting with a co-performer playing the complementary part behind a screen [5]. The function of such co-representation was addressed in a further study, which showed that repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) over the right motor cortex impaired synchronization with tempo changes in the contralateral left-hand part when it had been trained beforehand [6]. The use of a musical task thus revealed that co-representation is an inherently social mechanism that facilitates the simulation and integration of one’s own actions and those of others during dynamic interaction.

The neurophysiological processes supporting collaborative performance in musical ensembles has extended beyond the individual brain to include the relationship between processes occurring within multiple interacting brains [7,8]. The emerging field of hyperscanning permits the study of how interpersonal information flow results in coupled patterns of intra- and inter-brain dynamics. By capitalizing on the fact that ensemble musicians are relatively stationary during performance (which allows high signal-to-noise ratios when recording brain activity [9]), neuroscientists have been able to dissociate processes related to monitoring self-performance and the joint action outcome [10], and to investigate the amount of inter-brain information flow. Work on the latter topic suggests that specific patterns of neural coupling (i.e., in-phase synchronization) between participants are associated with leader–follower dynamics [7] and that inter-brain system-wide neuronal oscillatory phase coherence is upregulated during periods requiring higher coordination [8].

Music is generally an effective means by which to study cooperation and pro-social behavior from a neural perspective. Recent research has shown that joint drumming increases activity in the caudate nucleus (a key area in the brain reward system) and promotes prosocial behavior in adults [11] and children [12]. Links between interpersonal synchronization and social-psychological factors – such as cooperativeness – have been explored in studies involving sensorimotor synchronization with human and computer-controlled virtual partners. Interaction with cooperative virtual partners leads to the activation of cortical midline structures related to social-affective processes, whereas interaction with partners that make coordination difficult increases activation in lateral prefrontal areas associated with executive functions and cognitive control [13]. Virtual partner interaction has also proven informative about leader–follower dispositions, showing that leaders (individuals who set the tempo) exhibit greater self-focus and stronger activation of agency-related brain regions than do followers [14].

A design taxonomy of musical ensemble interaction

The research reviewed above falls into multiple taxonomic classes that traverse a continuum of ecological interaction. These classes include an individual interacting with (i) a recording, (ii) a computer-controlled virtual partner that responds to the individual, (iii) another individual in a duo, (iv) multiple individuals in mixed ensembles (extending to large orchestras), and (v) others in the presence of a live audience (Figure 1).

This continuum allows hypotheses to be tested through systematic manipulations of musical interaction that are parametrically graded in terms of the degree of ecological naturalness and experimental control. As ecological validity increases, the directionality of the interaction becomes more complex: from unidirectional, to bidirectional or multidirectional, involving a computer, other musicians, and also an audience. Increasing ecological validity offers the possibility to explore natural and unconstrained interaction, but it is also associated with higher uncertainty concerning the actions of others, which implies decreasing experimental control (Box 1). The taxonomy we propose may be used to guide the process of testing whether findings from tightly controlled contexts generalize to progressively richer ecological settings.

Concluding remarks and future directions

Musical ensembles enable the investigation of the neural and behavioral markers of nonverbal communication within a broad spectrum of social interactive contexts. These cognitive processes can be effectively studied in
ensemble musicians according to the proposed ‘design taxonomy’ by manipulating – systematically and parametrically – the degree of musical interaction. This plurality in approach and methods could potentially support the investigation of the basic building blocks of nonverbal communication and social cognition, which are human capacities that likely provided the foundations from which music itself evolved [12]. The use of music as a model of social interaction has the potential to disclose how these early building blocks were assembled in the course of human phylogeny.

Novel experimental observations, questions, and theories are likely to emerge from this approach and impact upon cognitive science on a broader scale. Musical ensembles thus constitute a promising experimental platform for implementing ecological and fully-interactive scenarios that capture the richness and complexity of human social interaction. The features of musical ensemble performance, and a taxonomic approach to degrees of musical interaction, provide valuable tools for pursuing a new line of cognitive neuroscience research.
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